

UNITED STAY 3 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		. ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/876,937	06/16/97	WOODWARD		D 16	955DIVCONC
			– –	EXA	AMINER
HM12/0213		'	o sullivan,P		
ROBERT J BARAN ALLERGAN INC		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2525 DUPONT DRIVE IRVINE CA 92612-1599				1621 DATE MAILED:	22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/13/01



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/876,937

Applicant(s)

Woodward et al.

Examiner

Peter O'Sullivan

Group Art Unit 1621

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 1, 2000</u>	<u> </u>				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	is/are allowed.				
	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s)					
☐ Claims are s					
Application Papers					
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, I	PTO-948.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	he Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	_approved _disapproved.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 L					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priorit	ty documents have been				
received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
received in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	nai bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOW	WING PAGES				

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

1. Claims 26-48 are pending in this application.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 26, 28-34, 36-45 and 48 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for R1 as H, lower alkyl or a cation, does not reasonably provide enablement for applicants added groups. The applicants' arguments have been given due consideration, but are found non-persuasive. Regarding applicants' comments at the middle of page 3 of the last action, Bishop et al. '383 does not teach covalently bonded amino as in some of applicants' compounds, but rather ionic compounds at line 55 of column 3. Tromethamine would be quaternary ammonium compound. Although applicants argue that since Bishop et al. '383 teach conventional methods may be used to make some compounds that it would have been obvious how to make applicants' added compounds, 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, concerns not only the making of an invention but using it as well. Applicants do not show how to use the newly added groups in their original specification. Regarding claims 42-45, the claiming is "an acceptable ester moiety" would include groups other than alkyl which are not enabled by applicants' specification. Alkyl esters are only one type of ester. Claim 48 claims a composition for treating primates, but only pharmaceutical not veterinary treatments are disclosed in applicants' specification.

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 26-45 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. U.S. '383. Claims 26-45 of this application has been copied from U.S. Patent No. 5,510,383.

 Contra applicants' arguments, Bishop et al. '383 discloses specific ester compounds not disclosed in Woodward '708.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937

Art Unit: 1621

- Claims 26-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop

 '383. Applicants' arguments have been given due consideration, but are held to be nonpersuasive. Bishop et al. disclose compounds of general formula I to be useful in treating
 glaucoma and ocular hypertension. In said formula, R1 may be hydrogen, a cationic salt moiety, a
 pharmaceuticaly acceptable amine moiety or C1-C12 alkyl, cycloalkyl or aryl and R2 may be cloro
 or trifluoromethyl. The instant invention differs from the teaching of the cited reference in
 although generically disclosed, not all of the compounds are specifically exemplified in the
 reference. It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to one of
 ordinary skill in the art to start with the teaching of the cited references, to make other of
 applicants' compounds in view of compounds actually made in the Bishop et al. '383 reference
 and to expect them to be useful in the treatment of glaucoma and ocular hypertension. As
 opposed to the 112 rejection, where applicants' specification does not show the added groups,
 Bishop et al. do disclose them.
- 8. Claim 46 and 47 are not rejected, but are subject to a possible interference.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 4

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937

Art Unit: 1621

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter O'Sullivan at telephone number (703) 308-4526.

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200